TCEQ AIR QUALITY PERMIT NO. 43957

MIT NO. 43957

BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY APPLICATION BY 8000000 BEXAR QUARRY SERVICES, LLC MICO, MEDINA COUNTY

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE TO HEARING REQUEST

I. Application Request and Background Information

The Executive Director (ED) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) files this response to the request for a contested case hearing submitted by the person listed herein. The Texas Health and Safety Code (THSC) § 382.056(n) requires the commission to consider hearing requests in accordance with the procedures provided in Texas Water Code (TWC) § 5.556. This statute is implemented through the rules found in 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 55, Subchapter F. A current compliance history report, the technical review summary, and the draft permit have been enincluded with this response and have been provided to all persons on the attached mailing list.

On August 28, 2007 Bexar Quarry Services LLC (Bexar) filed an application to renew Air Quality Permit Number 43957 to authorize continued operations of a Rock Crushing Plant located at 18394 FM 1283, Mico, Medina County, Texas. The application was determined to be administratively complete on September 4, 2007. Bexar published Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain Air Permit Renewal on September 6, 2007 in the San Antonio Express-News. The requirement to publish in an alternative language (Spanish) publication was waived under Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code, Section 39.405(h)(8) (30 TAC § 39.405(h)(8)); although the appropriate school district requires a bilingual program under the Texas Education Code, Bexar represented that it conducted a diligent search and found no newspaper or publication in the alternative language in the municipality or county where the facility will be located. Therefore, Bexar did not publish Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain Air Permit Renewal in Spanish. The TCEQ received a timely hearing request from Jack Love on September 18, 2007. The Applicant is not delinquent on any administrative penalty payments to the TCEQ.

Emissions of contaminants authorized under this permit include particulate matter including (but not limited to) particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM_{10}).

II. Analysis

This renewal would not result in an increase in allowable emissions and would not result in the emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted. The THSC § 382.056(g) states "The commission may not seek further comment or hold a public hearing...in response to a request for a public hearing on an amendment, modification, or renewal that would not result in an increase in allowable emissions and would not result in the emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted." Bexar is seeking a renewal that would not result in an increase in allowable emissions and will not result in an emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted.

However, the THSC § 382.056(o) states that "notwithstanding other provisions of this chapter, the commission may hold a hearing on a permit amendment, modification, or renewal if the commission determines that the application involves a facility for which the applicant's compliance history is in the lowest classification under Tex. Water Code §§ 5.753 and 5.754, and rules adopted and procedures developed under those sections. The commission adopted 30 TAC Chapter 60 to evaluate compliance history. The lowest classification under the Tex. Water Code §§ 5.753 and 5.754 and 30 TAC § 60.2 is a "poor performer." Under 30 TAC § 60.3(a)(3)(B), the TCEQ may hold a hearing on an air permit renewal if the site is classified as a poor performer. The compliance history

¹ See also rule 30 TAC § 55.201(i)(3)(C) (Renewals of air applications that "would not result in an increase in allowable emissions and would not result in the emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted" are applications for which there is no right to a contested case hearing).

² See also 30 TAC § 55.201(i)(3)(C) (stating the commission may hold a hearing if the application "involves a facility for which the applicant's compliance history contains violations which are unresolved and which constitute a recurring pattern of egregious conduct which demonstrates a consistent disregard for the regulatory process, including the failure to make a timely and substantial attempt to correct the violations").

for the company and the site is reviewed for the five-year period prior to the date the permit application was received by the Executive Director. The company and this site have been classified as "AVERAGE" and "HIGH," respectively, and not "POOR" performers according to 30 TAC § 60. At the time of this filing, the compliance history has not changed for the company or the site. Therefore, a hearing should not be granted under § 382.056(o) based on the compliance history of the applicant.

III. Conclusion

The renewal of this permit would not result in an increase in allowable emissions and would not result in the emission of an air contaminant not previously emitted. Under these circumstances, THSC § 382.056(g) directs the commission to "not seek further comment or hold a public hearing." Because consideration of hearing requests on a "no increase" renewal application is governed by THSC § 382.056(g) and (o), this response does not include an analysis of the individual hearing request. Accordingly, the Executive Director respectfully recommends that the commission deny the hearing request as a matter of law and approve the renewal of Bexar Quarry Services' permit no. 43957.

Respectfully submitted,

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Glenn Shankle, Executive Director

Stephanie Bergeron Perdue, Deputy Director Office of Legal Services

Robert Martinez, Director Environmental Law Division Executive Director's Response to Hearing Request Page 4 of 5

Tim Eubank, Staff Attorney Environmental Law Division State Bar No. 24048458 P.O. Box 13087, MC 173 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On March 10, 2008, a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served on all persons on the attached mailing list by the undersigned via deposit into the U.S. Mail, inter-agency mail, facsimile, or hand delivery.

Timothy Eubank

MAILING LIST BEXAR QUARRY SERVICES, LLC. DOCKET NO. 2007-2033-AIR; PERMIT NO. 78844

For the Applicant:

Steve Tolliver Bexar Quarry Services, LLC 5002 Sinclair Road San Antonio, Texas 78222-2131

For the Executive Director:

Tim Eubank, Staff Attorney Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality Environmental Law Division, MC 173 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Michael D. Gould, Technical Staff Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality Air Permits Division, MC 163 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Beecher Cameron Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality Air Permits Division, MC 163 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

For Public Interest Council:

Mr. Blas J. Coy, Jr., Attorney Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality Public Interest Council, MC 103 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

For Office of Public Assistance:

Ms. Bridget Bohac, Director Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality Office of Public Assistance, MC 108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

For Alternative Dispute Resolution:

Mr. Kyle Lucas Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality Alternative Dispute Resolution, MC 222 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

For the Chief Clerk:

Ms. LaDonna Castañuela
Texas Comm. on Environmental Quality
Office of Chief Clerk, MC 105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Requester:
Jack Love
P.O. Box 6301
Mico, Texas 78056

Page 1 of 1

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Regulated Entity:		CN602579534 Bexar Quarry Servi		rvices, LLC	Clas	sification: AVERAGE	Rating: 2.00	
		RN102750072	RN102750072 PORTABLE PLANT NO 1 SN11462		2 Clas	sification: HIGH	Site Rating: 0.00	
ID Number(s)		AIR NEW SOURC AIR NEW SOURC AIR NEW SOURC AIR NEW SOURC	DE PERMITS DE PERMITS	AC AFS	RMIT COUNT NUMBE S NUM S NUM	ER .	43957 943957G 4832501694 4877701694	
Location:		1,8394 FM 1283 M				ng Date: September 01	07 Repeat Violator:	
TCEQ Region	ч:	REGION 13 - SAN	I ANTONIO					
Date Complia	nnce History Prepared:	March 03, 2008		e de la companya de				
Agency Decis	sion Requiring Compliance History:	Permit - Issuance, renewal, amendment, modification, denial, suspension, or revocation of a permit.						
Compliance F	Period:	August 29, 2002 to	August 29, 2002 to August 28, 2007					
TCEQ Staff M	nember to Contact for Additional Inform			, 239-1890		In 1994 and an advance for		
		Site	Compliance Hist	ory Components	;			
1. Has the sit	e been in existence and/or operation f	or the full five year cor	mpliance period?	Yes				
	been a (known) change in ownership o	of the site during the c	ompliance	No				
period? 3. If Yes, who	is the current owner?			N/A				
4. if Yes, who	o was/were the prior owner(s)?	•.		N/A			<u> </u>	
5. When did	the change(s) in ownership occur?			N/A				
•	ts (Multimedia) for the Site :							
	nal Enforcement Orders, court judgem	ents, and consent de	crees of the state o	f Texas and the fec	leral governmen	t,		
٨	V A							
D 4:	ny criminal convictions of the state of 1	over and the federal	government					
	ny chiminal convictions of the state of the	exas and the lederal	government.		•		9	
	hronic excessive emissions events.							
1	√/A							
D. T	he approval dates of investigations. (C	CEDS Inv. Track. No.)					
	1 08/13/2003 (149922) 2 03/01/2007 (538655)							
E. W	ritten notices of violations (NOV). (CC	EDS Inv. Track. No.)				•		
F. E	nvironmental audits. N/A							
G. T	ype of environmental management sys	stems (EMSs).						
1	N/A							
	oluntary on-site compliance assessme	nt dates.						
١	√A							
I, P	articipation in a voluntary pollution red	uction program.						
	N/A							
J. E	arly compliance.						•	
	WA .							
Sites Outside	e of Texas							
N.	1/ A							

Permit Renewal Technical Review Analysis

Bexar Quarry Services LLC Permit No.: 43957 Company: Project No.: 132297 City: Mico Account No.: 94-3957-G Medina County: Regulated Entity No.: RN102750072 Project Type: **RNEW** Customer Reference No.: CN602579534 Project Reviewer: Mr. Larry Buller, P.E. Rock Crushing Plant Facility Name: AUTHORIZATION CHECKLIST SECTION: (If YES to questions in this section, then ED signature required.) Will a new policy/precedent be established? No Is a state or local official opposed to the permit? No Is waste or tire derived fuel involved? No Will action on this application be posted on the Executive Director's agenda? Yes Have any changes to the application or subsequent proposals been required to increase protection of public health and the environment during the review? No REVIEW SUMMARY SECTION: Bexar Quarry Services LLC submitted an abbreviated renewal for their rock crushing operation at the Deep Creek Quarry near Mico in Medina County. A hearing request was received during the Public Notice period, however, so an abbreviated review is no longer possible. There will be no changes in the emission rates and no changes in the process either by inclusion of PBR or by facilities that have always been present but never reflected in the permit. Thus, there are no changes in the Special Conditions nor the MAERT. Emissions for this site will remain at 8.70 tons per year (tpy) of particulate matter (PM) and 3.67 tpy of particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM₁₀). COMPLIANCE HISTORY SECTION: In accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 60, a compliance history report was reviewed on: March 3, 2008 The compliance period was from August 28, 2007 to August 29, 2002 Was the application received after September 1, 2002? Yes 0.0 [High] Company rating & classification? 2.00 [Avg.] If yes, what was the site rating & classification? If the rating is 40<RATING<45, what was the outcome, if any, based on the findings in the formal report? NA 30 TAC CHAPTER 116 RULES: A request for early submission of the renewal application was approved in accordance with Title 30 Texas Administrative Code (30 TAC) § 116.315(b). Date written notice of review was mailed August 13, 2007 8116.310 §116.310 Do dockside vessel emissions associated with the facility comply with all regulations? No §116.311(a)(1) Is the facility being operated in accordance with all requirements, conditions, and representations specified in the §116.311(a)(2) current permit and do the emissions from the facility comply with all TCEQ air quality rules and regulations, and with Yes the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act? Compliance with applicable NSPS? Yes §116.311(a)(3) Subparts A & OOO [Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants] §116.311(a)(4)

Permit Renewal

Technical Review Analysis

Permit No. 43957	Regulated Entity No. RN102750072
§116.311(a)(6) Compliance with §116.311(b)(1) Is additional info	applicable NESHAPS for source categories?
8116.311(b)(2) Were additional of	controls/permit conditions necessary to avoid a condition of air pollution or to ensure compliance with
§116.311© Compliance History: §116.314(a) The facility meets all	If or state rules? Is the facility in substantial compliance with the TCAA and the terms of the current permit? Yes permit renewal requirements? Yes 729.50 Paid? Yes, Receipt No. 758276
PUBLIC NOTICE INFORMATI	ON SECTION:
Date application received:	August 28, 2007 Date Administrative Complete: September 4, 2007 Yes
	Imin Complete/Legislators letters mailed: September 4, 2007
§39.603 Pollutants: PM and Date Published in Newspaper:	September 6, 2007 in the San Antonio Express-News
Date Affidavits/Copies receive	d: September 26, 2007
Bilingual notice required? Date Published in Newspaper:	Yes Language: Spanish Applicant verify's that a diligent search was conducted and that no alternative language
Date Affidavits/Copies receive	publication was found. Discussion with the applicant indicates that Conexion' El Norticas is now 50% English and 50% Spanish which does not qualify this publication as an alternative language newspaper. Also, the editor of La Prenza de San Antonio told the applicant that they do not distribute in Medina County d: September 26, 2007
839.604 Certification of Sign Posti	ng / Application availability Received September 26, 2007
Public Comments Received? .	No
	requested? Yes TBD
Hearing held? Was/were the request(s) withd	
Replies to Comments sent to C	
Consideration of Comments:	TBD
	quired?
If no, give reason: Not requ	inten for renewals pursuant to 50 TAC § 52.417(c)18
Final action? Issue Permit	Letters enclosed? Yes
REQUEST FOR COMMENTS	SECTION:
Region: 13	Reviewed by: Mr. Edgar Sawyer
TOBLOIT,	
CHAPTER 113 RULES SECTION §113.100 Compliance with app	ON: olicable MACT standards expected?
PROCESS DESCRIPTION SEC	MIONI.
	1 1 6 2 7 9 7

beneath the crusher then transfers the material to screen #1, where it is sized and transferred to one of four collecting conveyors.

Oversize material from the top deck of screen #1 is sent via conveyor to the primary crusher for reprocessing and then over to a conveyor which takes it to screen #2 for sizing and classification. The material passing through screen #2 is passed to the conveyor that

and transported to the conveyor under the primary crusher. The majority of the material is fed into the primary crusher. The conveyor

Permit Renewal Technical Review Analysis

Permit No. 43957

Regulated Entity No. RN102750072

would take it to another conveyor that transports the material and conveys it for stockpiling. Oversize material from screen #2 is conveyed to the secondary crusher for reprocessing. The material from the second and third decks of screen #2 is conveyed for stockpiling.

Material from the second and third decks of screen #1 is conveyed for stockpiling. Material passing through screen #1 is dropped at a conveyor and then transferred to another conveyor for stockpiling. Additionally, there is a wash plant where the materials are transported to be washed.

Material is transported from the stockpiles of the main plant to a hopper where the material is passed to a conveyor and transferred to a wash screen. Oversized material and material from the second deck of the wash screen are conveyed to a stacker for stockpiling. Material passing through the wash screen is passed to a sand screw and conveyed to a stacker for stockpiling.

SOURCES AND CONTROLS SECTION:

Emission control measures for this facility meet or exceed existing BACT standards for rock crushing facilities. Water sprays will be located at the inlet/outlet of crushers, screens, and material transfer points and used as needed to control fugitive dust emissions except where partial enclosures are used. Carry-over moisture from these water sprays and the natural moisture content of the material will be sufficient to minimize dust emissions throughout the process. Additional controls will be used when and if they are needed at any point in the process of the facility. A full-time water truck will be used as necessary at the site to maintain dust suppression by controlling active work areas, in-plant roads, and stockpiles.

MISCELLANEOUS SECTION Is applicant in agreement with s			Yes
20 mpp220020 0.8		Ms. Melissa Fitts	, Environmental Specialist
		Wes	tward Environmental, Inc.
Lary Fill	(N 03-03-08)	Mehallale	03/03/2009
Permit Reviewer	Date	Team Leader/Section Manager/Backup	Date

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Permit Number 43957

EMISSION STANDARDS

- 1. This permit covers only those sources of emissions listed in the attached table entitled "Emission Sources Maximum Allowable Emission Rates," and those sources are limited to the emission limits and other conditions specified in the attached table.
- 2. All equipment shall comply with all requirements of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regulations on Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS) promulgated for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants in Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60, Subparts A and OOO except as otherwise represented in the permit application.

OPACITY/VISIBLE EMISSION LIMITATIONS

- 3. Opacity of emissions from any transfer point on belt conveyors or any screen shall not exceed 10 percent and from any crusher shall not exceed 15 percent, averaged over a six-minute period, and according to the EPA Test Method (TM) 9 or equivalent. (01/07)
- 4. No visible fugitive emissions from the crusher, screens, transfer points on belt conveyors, material storage or feed bins, or stockpiles shall leave the property. Visible emissions from these points shall be determined by a standard of no visible emissions exceeding 30 seconds in duration in any six-minute period as determined using the EPA TM 22 or equivalent. If this condition is violated, additional controls or process changes may be required to limit visible particulate matter (PM) emissions. (01/07)

OPERATIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

- 5. The company has represented the following to comply with all TCEQ rules and regulations:
 - A. Production at this facility is limited to 500 tons per hour (tph) and 1,750,000 tons per year (tpy) with capacity limits for each crusher as listed in the attached table entitled "Emission Sources Maximum Allowable Emission Rates."
 - B. Permanently mounted spray bars shall be installed at the inlet and outlet of all crushers, at all shaker screens, and at all material transfer points. All water spray systems shall be operated as necessary to control dust.
 - C. Plant roads and aggregate stockpiles shall be sprinkled with water and/or environmentally sensitive chemicals as necessary to maintain compliance with all TCEQ rules and regulations. (01/07)

- D. The Wash Screen (EPN 17) and the three associated conveyor transfers (EPNs 18, 19, 20) shall be saturated. There shall be no visible emissions from these points.
- E. Raw material stockpile heights are site specific and shall not exceed 45 feet in height unless approved by the TCEQ Regional Office and/or any appropriate local air programs with delegation.
- F. This permit does not authorize the operation of an internal combustion engine in conjunction with this facility. The holder of this permit shall obtain prior authorization for any engine which remains or will remain at a single point or location for more than 12-consecutive months. Any portable engine which remains or will remain at a single point or location for less than or equal to 12-consecutive months is not considered stationary and no authorization is required.

DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE

6. Upon request by the TCEQ Regional Director having jurisdiction, the holder of this permit shall perform ambient air monitoring, or other testing as required to establish the actual pattern and quantities of air contaminants being emitted into the atmosphere. (01/07)

RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

- 7. Records shall be kept for a rolling two-year period and maintained which reflect compliance with General Condition No. 7, the maximum allowable emission rates table (MAERT), and NSPS requirements, including the following: (0107)
 - A. Daily and annual amounts of materials processed;
 - B. Daily road watering; and
 - C. Records of all repairs and maintenance of abatement systems.

MOVEMENT OF A PORTABLE PLANT

- 8. The following are requirements for movement of portable plants:
 - A. Prior to moving permitted plants or sources to any new site (even if authorization for the site has previously been granted), the holder of the permit shall request relocation or change of location authorization and obtain written approval from a delegated

representative of the TCEQ Executive Director. Additionally, once construction has begun at any site, the applicant shall notify the appropriate TCEQ Regional Office and local air pollution control programs in writing of the actual dates of start of construction and operation.

- B. The TCEQ Regional Office may approve the following types of relocations: (01/07)
 - (1) A permitted plant and associated equipment to be located temporarily* in the right-of-way, or contiguous to the right-of-way, of a public works project, or
 - *Note: A temporary plant is one that occupies a designated site for not more than 180 consecutive days or supplies materials for a single project (single contract or same contractor for related project segments, but not other unrelated projects.)
 - (2) A portable facility moving to a site where a portable facility has been located at the site at any time during the previous two years.
- C. If the holder of the permit meets either (1) or (2) above, then they shall submit a request letter prior to relocating to the appropriate TCEQ Regional Office. After evaluating the relocation request, the TCEQ Regional Office will send a written response to the permit holder. The permit holder shall submit the following information to the TCEQ Regional Office:
 - (1) Company name, address, company contact, and telephone number,
 - (2) Copy of existing permit conditions and the MAERT that are in effect for the permitted facility;
 - (3) TCEQ account and permit numbers;
 - (4) Location descriptions of the present and proposed site (city, county, and exact location descriptions);
 - (5) A plot plan to scale that identifies the property lines and the location of all equipment and stockpiles;
 - (6) An area map to scale that identifies the distance and direction to the closest off-property receptor and clearly indicates how the facility site is contiguous or adjacent to a public works project;
 - (7) Proposed date for start of construction and expected date for start of operation;
 - (8) Expected time period at the proposed site; and

- (9) If applicable, the date that this plant was last located and operated at the proposed site.
- D. To move a permitted plant and associated equipment to a site that does not meet either Special Condition 8B(1) or 8B(2), the holder of this permit shall submit a change of location request to the TCEQ Air Permits Division, Air Permits Initial Review Team, MC-161, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087 using a Form PI-1, along with all supporting documents. All stationary equipment authorized by this permit shall be prominently marked to show the assigned TCEQ account identification number. These markings must be clearly visible. These identification markings shall be removed from the equipment when it is no longer authorized by the TCEQ. (01/07)
- E. All future relocation and change of location applications shall comply with the following conditions.
 - (1) The rock crushing facility and all associated sources (screens, conveyors, transfer points on belt conveyors, feed bins, and work areas that are only associated with the facility) shall be located a minimum of 525 feet from the property line.
 - (2) When crushing concrete, the crusher and all associated sources shall be located at least 440 yards from any structure used as a single family or multifamily residence, school, or place of worship.
 - (3) Stockpiles and vehicle traffic areas (except for entrance and exit to the site) shall be located at least 25 feet from any property line. In lieu of meeting the distance requirements for roads and stockpiles, the following may occur:
 - a. Roads and other traffic areas within the buffer distance must be bordered by dust suppressing fencing or other barrier along all traffic routes or work areas. These borders shall be constructed to a height of at least eight feet; and
 - b. Stockpiles within this buffer distance must be contained within a three-walled bunker which extends at least two feet above the top of the stockpile.

Dated January 25, 2007

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

Permit Number 43957

This table lists the maximum allowable emission rates and all sources of air contaminants on the applicant's property covered by this permit. The emission rates shown are those derived from information submitted as part of the application for permit and are the maximum rates allowed for these facilities. Any proposed increase in emission rates may require an application for a modification of the facilities covered by this permit.

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission	Source	Air Contaminant	Emission Rates *	
Point No. (1)	Name (2)	Name (3)	1b/hr	TPY**
1	Hopper #1 (4)	$_{ m PM}$ $_{ m PM}_{ m 10}$	0.01 <0.01	0.01 0.01
14	Hopper #2 (4)	$_{ m PM}$ $_{ m PM}$	0.01 <0.01	0.01 0.01
.5	Primary Crusher (4)	$_{\mathrm{PM}_{10}}^{\mathrm{PM}}$	0.42 0.21	0.74 0.36
13	Secondary Crusher (4)	${ m PM} \over { m PM}_{10}$	0.28 0.14	0.48 0.24
2	Screen #1(4)	$_{\mathrm{PM}_{10}}^{\mathrm{PM}}$	1.10 0.37	1.93 0.65
6	Screen #2 (4)	$\mathrm{PM}_{\mathrm{PM}_{10}}$	0.51 0.17	0.89 0.30
3-4, 7-12, 15-16, 18-20	Conveyor Transfers (4)	$_{\mathrm{PM}_{10}}$	0.41 0.14	0.70 0.25
17	Wash Screen (4)	PM_{10}	0.13 0.04	0.22 0.08
STK	Stockpile (4)	PM PM ₁₀		3.61 1.72
MTL	Material Handling (4)	PM PM ₁₀	0.06 0.03	0.11

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

- (1) Emission point identification either specific equipment designation or emission point number from a plot plan.
- (2) Specific point source names. For fugitive sources, use an area name or fugitive source name.
- (3) PM particulate matter, suspended in the atmosphere, including PM₁₀.

 PM₁₀ particulate matter equal to or less than 10 microns in diameter. Where PM is not listed, it shall be assumed that no particulate matter greater than 10 microns is emitted.
- (4) Fugitive emissions are an estimate only.
- * Emission rates are based on and the facilities are limited by the following maximum operating schedule:

24 Hrs/day 7 Days/week 52 Weeks/year or 8,760 Hrs/year

Maximum Facility Production Rate: 500 tons/hour and 1,750,000 tons/year Primary Crusher Production Rate: 350 tons/hour and 1,225,000 tons/year Secondary Crusher Production Rate: 230 tons/hour and 805,000 tons/year

** Compliance with annual emission limits is based on a rolling 12-month period.

Dated January 25, 2007